



# Vision for Anytime Anywhere Learning for All

Transformation Framework

## About this series

The Microsoft in Education Transformation Framework is a guide for educators and leaders engaged in holistic education transformation. The critical conversations needed for effective transformation of education systems are the focus of this paper series. Each expert author presents a global perspective on the topic through the current thinking and evidence from research and practice, as well as showcase examples. Specifically, the papers document the contributions of anytime anywhere approaches to K-12 learning and explore the potential of new technology for transforming learning outcomes for students and their communities.



## Microsoft in Education Transformation Framework Papers

- Vision for Anytime Anywhere Learning for All
- Enabling Transformation with Strategic Planning, Organizational Capacity, and Sustainability
- Quality Assurance: Monitoring and Evaluation to Inform Practice and Leadership
- Inclusion: Equitable Access and Accessibility
- Public, Private, and Community Partnerships for Employability
- Curriculum, Content, and Assessment for the Real World
- Personalized Learning for Global Citizens
- Learning Communities and Support
- Building Leader and Educator Capacity for Transformation
- Transforming Learning Environments for Anytime, Anywhere Learning for All
- Designing Technology for Efficient and Effective Schools

# Table of Contents

About this series.....	2
Microsoft in Education Transformation Framework Papers.....	3
Summary.....	5
Vision for Anytime Anywhere Learning for All.....	6
The Evidence Base.....	8
Recommendations for Policy and Practice.....	10
Understand the Context for Change.....	11
Define a Small Number of Goals for Success.....	12
Ensure Commitment from All Stakeholders.....	13
Guiding Questions for a Vision of Anytime Anywhere Learning for All: .....	16
References.....	17
Author Bio.....	26
Acknowledgements.....	26
How Microsoft can help:.....	27
Case Studies.....	27



# Vision for Anytime Anywhere Learning for All

*"The main way in which governments can help their people through this dislocation is through education systems. One of the reasons for the improvement in workers' fortunes in the latter part of the Industrial Revolution was because schools were built to educate them – a dramatic change at the time. Now those schools themselves need to be changed, to foster the creativity that humans will need to set them apart from computers." – The Economist, 2014*

All of us want a world where children grow up to flourish and live healthy, productive and fundamentally happy lives. Yet we live in a world where change is continuous, and the mix of ingredients needed for such lives shift as our societies and economies evolve. The digital era is radically democratizing not only information and knowledge, but the creation of new ideas and new knowledge. Our youth recognize these shifts, even if they are not introduced to them in school (Claxton, 2014). Some youth are already becoming collaborative creators – mostly on their own initiative - experiencing and using learning and knowledge in new and powerful ways. For them the future is one of tremendous opportunity and potential.

However, too many youth are not catching this wind, especially as they traverse the path from schooling to adulthood. Far too many youth around the world falter as they leave our educational institutions. They do not know how to take the initiative and harvest their aspirations. They seek modern jobs but do not have the experiences and competencies required to innovate and create value in today's world (OECD, 2013). Youth unemployment is more than double total adult unemployment in many countries (ILO, 2013) – in some countries one in two young people are unemployed. Just as the shift from agrarian to industrial ages caused massive social and economic disruptions, today's shift from the industrial age to the digital age challenges existing systems. The speed with which our schools respond to this critical instability may well determine how quickly our societies can lessen the pain of disruption and ignite its latent opportunities (Sornette, 2009).

Anytime anywhere learning for all is one opportunity in this new era. It is an idea that harnesses growing evidence about how people learn and how to deeply engage people in the hard work and joyful play of learning (Wolfe et al, 2013). It is a new system that relies on ubiquitous technology, but it is not about the technology. To capture



"Windows 8 ties everything together. It facilitates students' ability to consume and create content and collaborate across multiple devices—basically to extend the learning process far beyond the classroom."

Dr. Gabriel Esteban  
President, Seton Hall University, USA  
<http://www.microsoft.com/education/ww/productions/Pages/Stories.aspx#showStory>



its potential, however, requires that our schools and education systems design and implement new visions for the future of learning. No single vision for the future of learning fits all. Each school, system and culture needs a vision for anytime anywhere learning that is specific to its particular context. Whether a vision seeks deeper learning competencies, closing the equity gap, increasing student voice and aspirations, or other innovative goals for the future of learning, digital tools and resources can enable and significantly accelerate the achievement of those goals. The key is to lead vision design with clear goals for the future of learning.

This whitepaper addresses vision design, the starting place for holistic transformation of education in a digital era. The paper provides evidence and examples of how vision design can inspire successful transformation. It offers diverse exemplars of schools and systems that have developed such visions to guide their transformations. It highlights how technology can enable and accelerate progress. And it outlines recommendations based on all this evidence for those beginning the vision design process.

A shared vision for holistic transformation of education matters because systemic change is so difficult. System change is complex because it touches on the perceptions, attitudes and everyday work of many stakeholders; involves the reallocation of fiscal and cultural resources; and disturbs the status quo (Laloux and Wilber, 2014; Snowden et al, 2007). Participants in the change process need a source of inspiration that nurtures and sustains their intrinsic energies, a source that focuses effort, aligns resources, and supports all those who participate in the change process (Merchant, 2014). An effective vision is successful because it connects conversations and reminds all of those involved of the fundamental 'why' behind a strategy (Sinek, 2011). Inevitably, those involved in change will face troughs of despair and seemingly insurmountable barriers. Powerful visions, grounded in the real context of a unique school or education system, provide the motivational bridge and clarity of purpose required to make it through to the next stage of progress. The evidence and recommendations that follow illustrate how this kind of effective vision design is done.

---

What is ubiquitous technology? This term was coined by Mark Weiser, described as "the calm technology, that recedes into the background of our lives." In essence, it is the affordance technology provides in the exchange of information and services at anytime and anywhere (Weiser, 1991).

These are examples of Microsoft's ubiquitous technology:

[Cloud Technology](#)

[Azure](#)

[Office](#)

[OneNote](#)

[Education Apps](#)

## The Evidence Base

Schools and systems that successfully transform education begin their efforts with clear visions of what they want success to mean (Edwards, 2013; Project Red, 2013). Vision design defines specific and concrete goals *for learning and for the outcomes of schooling*. Countries and regions such as Singapore, Finland, Ontario, and Shanghai that achieve some of the strongest outcomes on international assessments began their journeys with vision design. With such clarity of vision, these systems were able to create strategies that aligned leadership, pedagogical models, human and social capital, investments and policies to achieve those goals (OECD, 2012).

For Singapore, a new vision for learning was announced by the Prime Minister Goh Chok Tong in 1997. This vision, called “Thinking Schools, Learning Nation,” explicitly focused on the needs of the country for the 21st Century and for a population of lifelong learners: For instance, Cheung & Slavin (2013) completed a meta-analysis on computer-aided instruction. The results showed a positive (albeit modest) effect size compared to traditional instruction in K-12 mathematics classrooms. Bernard et al.’s (2014) meta-analyses demonstrated that students in blended learning conditions exceeded students in traditional classroom environments by about one-third of a standard deviation. Barrow, Markman, & Rouse (2009) offered positive evidence for the use of a computer-based curriculum in supporting pre-algebra and algebra concepts to middle and high school students.

Finally, Cavanaugh et al. (2004) provided evidence that K-12 online learning was just as effective—and in some cases, more effective—than traditional face-to-face schooling.

Thinking schools will be learning organisations in every sense, constantly challenging assumptions, and seeking better ways of doing things through participation, creativity and innovation. Thinking Schools will be the cradle of thinking students as well as thinking adults and this spirit of learning should accompany our students even after they leave school.” (Ministry of Education, Singapore, 2014)

In the 1970s Finland’s stakeholders coalesced around a vision for learning that focused on goals of equity and student well-being. In Ontario, the largest province in Canada, the change goals were simple: to increase literacy and numeracy in primary schools and to

increase the graduation rate in high schools. In Shanghai, the vision focused on equity through improving the learning outcomes of low performing schools (Jensen and Farmer, 2013). In each of these cases, visions were designed to achieve specific goals for learning.

What was the role of technology in these successful change initiatives? In these contexts, digital access was not the end goal, learning was the goal and technology was a means used in some of the initiatives. A growing body of evidence suggests that technology in isolation is ineffective as a strategy for improving learning outcomes (Hattie, 2009; Higgins et al, 2012; Cuban, 2013). Decades into the infusion with technology in education, it has become apparent that technology by itself is not an effective solution to the systemic challenges facing education today (Fullan, 2011; Fullan and Langworthy, 2014). **Instead, systems and schools that define their visions with clear goals for learning, and then use technology as an enabler and accelerator of progress, find the most success.** For example, in Singapore, technology has been seen as a key strategic lever for achieving the broader vision for learners. Technology has been consistently integrated with human capital and infrastructure strategies to advance this goal (Towndrow and Vallance, 2013). In Shanghai, the equity goal has guided how technology has been integrated in schools (Zhu et al, 2011). For example, a key component of the overall Shanghai strategy focused on building teachers' pedagogical capacity. Technology was integrated in this capacity-building, including advanced uses of video analysis for pre-service training (Wang, 2013). In Ontario, school and district level efforts to develop anytime anywhere learning have a few clear goals for learning at the center, and focus first on capacity building among educators (Jensen et al, 2011) and second on digital access after the pedagogical foundation is laid. In each of these cases, the overarching vision focusing on specific learning goals guided the "how" of technology integration for faster and more powerful results.

Among individual schools and small clusters of schools, the strongest success cases are seen where a clear vision for learning defines how technology is integrated. Evidence is growing that when digital tools serve in the purpose of a clear vision focused on specific learning goals, progress happens, and it happens more quickly than in the past (Fullan and Langworthy, 2014). In Colombia, a small group of public schools began to use the "Fontan Relational Education" framework in 2005. This framework defines a clear vision for intensely

---

Analytics play an important role in using technology to augment learning. Learn more about Microsoft's analytics tools and platforms:

1. [Microsoft Education and Analytics](#)



2. [Education Analytics for Schools](#)



---

Microsoft offers resources for educators on personalized learning. Find more information by visiting the [Microsoft Educator Network](#).



personalized student learning and a specific pedagogical approach that supports this vision (Twani and Fontan, 2012). These “Fontan” schools were able to significantly improve student learning outcomes in 24 to 36 months. In the last few years, however, these schools have begun to implement anytime anywhere learning where every student has a digital device for learning and the schools have developed cloud-based applications and infrastructure to support the pedagogical model. The result is that improved learning outcomes were achieved in six months instead of two to three years. The learning goals drive how technology is incorporated in these schools.

In another example, the Aspirations Academies Trust in England includes a network of schools all focused on the vision of fostering students’ aspirations through developing their sense of self-worth, engagement and purpose. These academies integration of technology is guided by this vision. An online application has been developed by the Quaglia Institute for Student Aspirations to support students in articulating and pursuing their aspirations, and then connecting their learning work every day to these aspirations. At the same time, teachers are equipped with digital tools aimed towards knowing their students more personally and developing their sense of belonging and voice. Again, the larger vision has informed how technology is used. These Academies are quickly seeing some of the highest learning achievements in England. For example, in one these academies, there was a 60% increase in students scoring top grades on national exams in one year’s time (Banbury, 2014). Such examples also provide strong evidence that the deep and authentic engagement of students in the vision can be a powerful catalyst to progress.

## Recommendations for Policy and Practice

The importance of the vision design process lies not in the final articulation of the vision statement. It lies in being the first of many critical conversations about holistic education transformation. What matters most in the vision process is the deep collaboration of participants in establishing a common answer to the question: “Why is change needed?” Answers to this question most often center on what students need to thrive. Answers will also shape conversations on the many ‘how’ questions that will follow during strategic

planning, implementation, and monitoring progress. A shared vision becomes the collective intention of diverse stakeholders and serves as a compass to align individuals and groups' actions towards a common direction. While every school and system will approach this question according to their own context and needs, the design of a holistic, collaborative, systemic and shared vision can involve three fairly simple and direct steps:

- **Understand the context for change**
- **Define a small number of goals**
- **Ensure commitment from all stakeholders**

## Understand the Context for Change

Vision design begins with an assessment of where you are now and where stakeholders in your school or system want to go. This step should be as inclusive and open as possible, positioned as an opportunity to learn from everyone involved and to include their aspirations and ideas in answering "why is change needed?" This is the first critical step in developing a holistic, collaborative, systemic and shared vision, meaning it is the first opportunity to authentically engage all stakeholders in the process of shaping a vision.

Having too ambitious or idealistic a vision can become a path to failure, especially if not all stakeholders are committed to it. Successful visions meet up with the reality of an existing school or system, focusing on making it to the next stage of progress while sustaining inspiration for what is possible. It may be important to achieve intermediary goals through cycles of initial goals, strategy development, implementation, and reflection on progress, and then moving on to more ambitious goals. While visions can and should be expansive and aspirational, a broad review of the current state of the system can provide the crucial bridge between the reality of today and authentic progress.

At the same time, during this step in the vision process stakeholders can be introduced to ideas and examples of what it is possible for students to achieve and be able to do. Sharing data and examples from other schools and systems can inspire new aspirations. Understanding the context is more than just the immediate reality – it is also what other schools and systems are doing and how they are innovating. Once key exemplars are identified, these should be shared with a wide variety of stakeholders to expand everyone's thinking.

Every student and school will ultimately connect with a new vision in relation to its existing culture - its culture of leadership, of professionalism and peer collaboration (or its absence), and of student engagement (or disengagement). Assessing the current context should include data sources and evidence on culture as well as resources and outcomes. Such data can include information already collected on students' achievement, engagement, and expectations as well as their attitudes, perceptions and behaviors. Students are and will always be the most important stakeholders in school change efforts: including their perspectives is critical. The perceptions, expectations and engagement of families; teachers' perceptions, expectations and pedagogical capacities; leadership capacities; the effectiveness of professional learning programs for teachers and leaders; the quality and scope of current assessment practices; school climate and culture; the quality and scope of physical, communications and technology infrastructure, including data privacy, security and digital citizenship policies; financial resources; community partnerships, especially workforce needs; and the alignment of curriculum and standards with new learning goals – all can provide insights to shape a successful vision. Inputs to learning should be captured as well as the outcomes of schooling (Cavanaugh et al, 2011). All of this information should be synthesized to establish an integrated picture of the current context. Guiding the analysis should be central questions such as “where are the strengths and weaknesses in the system today?” and “what are the barriers to progress?” Most importantly, the analysis of the context should be shared back with all stakeholders to continue the cycle of inclusion in the vision design process.

## Define a Small Number of Goals for Success

The first step of understanding the context informs the development of goals for change. Defining goals serves a specific purpose in holistic transformation – it establishes a common direction among diverse parties as they undertake a complex set of strategic activities across a variety of levels of an education system. Thus, the goals should be few: too many goals diminish clarity of purpose. Indeed, those organizations that have only one core goal often have the most powerful visions (Sinek, 2011). The process of defining the goals should be a shared process that speaks to key stakeholders' perceptions of the context and aspirations for the future.

*“The key to system-wide success is to situate the energy of educators and students as the central driving force. This means aligning the goals of reform and the intrinsic motivation of participants.” (Fullan, 2011)*

Increasing evidence on successful change in 21st Century organizations shows that all key stakeholders – in this case, students, teachers, leaders, families and community partners – need to participate in vision development, not just the leadership (Merchant, 2014; Cameron and Quinn, 2011). Without shared commitment to common goals by all parties, the vision will not harness deep allegiance.


The goals for a school or system’s vision should directly connect with the specific context. An international analysis of education systems that have achieved “significant, sustained and widespread gains in student outcomes” by McKinsey and Company showed that education systems which achieved the most progress defined different goals at different stages of their development (Mourshed et al, 2010). For those schools or systems at earlier stages, goals that focused on fundamental improvements to literacy and math achieved the most progress. For systems further along, goals that focused on advancing learning through developing stronger organizations and the pedagogical capacities of educators who could propel new learning outcomes for students. For systems making progress towards the highest levels of excellence, goals focused on teachers’ peer-based learning and research on the impacts of new teaching practices to develop advanced pedagogical capacities for students’ deeper learning competencies. The point here is simply that the goals should address both where a system is now, and focus attention on reaching the next stage of progress unique to that system’s context. The goals should provide the directional vision to help synthesize and orient the strategies of diverse participants in the change, while setting high and realistic expectations for success (Fullan and Langworthy, 2014; Jensen and Sonnemann, 2014).

## Ensure Commitment from All Stakeholders

The third step completes the cycle of inclusion in the vision design process. It requires sharing the goals back with key stakeholders before beginning the hard work of strategic planning for how the vision will be achieved. This step can require little time if the previous two steps have been inclusive. Validation of goals by stakeholders is


critical to ensuring a common interpretation and a shared commitment to the vision. Ideally, this involves not just publishing the vision statement, but a round of open conversations with each stakeholder group. If the vision statement and goals are met with superficial acceptance or resistance, it is much less costly in the long run to revisit them at this stage, than to attempt to implement a new vision in a culture of low commitment and trust.

This cycle ensures a shared vision will guide conversations around how the vision will be achieved. Key 'how' conversations include those around how to develop leadership and educator capacity (Shear, Gallagher & Patel, 2011), and how to measure and monitor progress to learn from the work, which may require the development of new standards and methods at all layers of schools and systems (ATC21S, 2014; Cavanaugh et al, 2011; UNESCO and Microsoft, 2011; Immel, 2011). Another key conversation is on the role of technology. Digital tools can help to connect the learning goals defined by the vision – the 'why' -- with all the stages of a change process – from strategic planning through implementation and monitoring progress. It can ensure that the purpose at the heart of the vision becomes realized potential. Implementing change successfully is led by a clear vision for the future of learning, and leverages technology to enable and accelerate that learning vision. When digital tools and resources become pervasive in learning organizations, they become indispensable keys to sustained progress and continuous innovation. Leveraging the power of technology fully means intentional layering of digital tools and resources into learning systems, such as through databases that measure individual learners' progress and make it more visible to teachers, leaders, families and to the students themselves; through digital resources that allow adaptive learning content to be deeply personalized; through adaptive, formative feedback for learning through games and applications; through blended learning; through technologies that make learning more accessible to students with a wide range of disabilities and impairments; through ePortfolio systems that allow richer, more non-standardized learning tasks and assessments; or through connecting students globally to collaborate on rich problem-solving tasks (Cavanaugh, 2014; Vander Ark and Schneider, 2014; Luckin et al, 2012). When technology becomes intelligently pervasive within a school or a system, anytime anywhere learning becomes a reality.



One example of how ePortfolio systems are used to effectively is from Konyang University in South Korea. Read more about the [case study here](#).





Vision design and the change cycle should be a dynamic between defining a vision, strategic planning, implementation, and reflections on progress. It is an ongoing collaborative inquiry cycle with digital resources accelerating and empowering the achievement of holistic transformation of education systems (Fullan and Langworthy, 2013). Education systems around the world are embarking on these efforts through networks such as the **New Pedagogies for Deep Learning Global Partnership**. Anytime, anywhere learning for all is a human vision, not a technology project. As every student gains access to digital tools and learning resources, it opens up opportunities for learning, for collaboration, for knowledge and for creativity that truly prepares youth for flourishing futures. But that potential is far too often unreached because of a lack of shared and holistic vision. A strong vision for the future of learning ignites the potential, and once it is lit, momentum towards anytime anywhere learning is unstoppable.

## Guiding Questions for a Vision of Anytime Anywhere Learning for All:

- What does an innovative school look like here?
- What does the experience of a 21st century learning environment or smart classroom look like here?
- What does a 21st century teacher/student do here?
- What educational philosophies and learning pedagogies are required or need to be enabled for a 21st century learning community?
- What framework/process will be used to create a vision?
- How will we define and communicate the vision?
- Who will and how will our vision be driven?
- How will we fund the vision?
- What is the scope or roadmap of the vision?
- What political, cultural, social or religious requirements can/will impact/limit the vision and scope?
- How much involvement is required from the key stakeholders?

## References

Assessment and Teaching of 21st Century Skills. (2014). Collaborative Problem Solving Progressions. Melbourne, Australia, University of Melbourne.

Banbury Academy. (2014). "Fantastic GCSE results." Retrieved from School Website: <http://www.aatbanbury.org/89/news/article/47/fantastic-gcse-results>

Cameron, K., & Quinn, R. (2011). *Diagnosing and Changing Organizational Culture*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Cavanaugh, C. (2014). Blended education for elementary and secondary students. In Slavin, R., Ed., *Proven Programs Education: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM)*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Cavanaugh, C., Dawson, K., & Ritzhaupt, A. D. (2011). An evaluation of the conditions, processes and consequences of laptop computing in K-12 classrooms. *Journal of Educational Computing Research* 45(3) 359-378.

Claxton, G. (2013). "School as an Epistemic Apprenticeship: The Case of Building Learning Power." 32nd Vernon-Wall Lecture. *The Education Section of the British Psychological Society*. Leicester: The British Psychological Society.

Cuban, L. (2013). *Inside the black box of classroom practice*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

*The Economist*. (2014). "Coming to an Office Near You: The effect of today's technology on tomorrow's jobs will be immense—and no country is ready for it." *The Economist*. 18 January, 2014. Retrieved from: <http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21594298-effect-todays-technology-tomorrows-jobs-will-be-immenseand-no-country-ready>

Edwards, M.A. (2013). *Every Child, Every Day: A Digital Conversion Model for Student Achievement*. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Pearson.

Fullan, M. (2011). *Choosing the Wrong Drivers for Whole System Reform*. Centre for Strategic Education, Seminar Series 204.

Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible Learning: A Synthesis of over 800 Meta-Analyses Relating to Achievement*. London: Routledge.

Higgins, S., Xiao, Z. and Katsipataki, M. (2012) 'The Impact of Digital Technology on Learning: A Summary for the Education Endowment Foundation.' Durham: Durham University.

Immel, T. (2011). "Time to Move to Competency-Based Professional Development." ICT in Education, UNESCO Bangkok. Retrieved from: <http://www.unescobkk.org/education/ict/online-resources/databases/ict-in-education-database/item/article/time-to-move-to-competency-based-continuing-professional-development/>

ILO, International Labour Organization. (2013). *Global Employment Trends for Youth 2013: A Generation at Risk*. Geneva: International Labour Office.

Jensen, B. & Farmer, J. (2012). "School Turnaround in Shanghai: The Empowered-Management Program Approach to Improving School Performance." Center for American Progress. Accessed May 29, 2014: <http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/education/report/2013/05/14/63144/school-turnaround-in-shanghai/>

Jensen, B. & Sonnemann, J. (2014). *Turning around schools: it can be done*. Carlton, Australia: Grattan Institute.

Jensen, J., Taylor, N., Fisher, S. (2011). *Decisions and Directions: Review and Report of Educational Technology Implementation and Use in the Peel District School Board*. Ontario, Canada. Shared by permission of the Peel School Board.

Laloux, F. and Wilber, K. (2014). *Reinventing Organizations: A Guide to Creating Organizations Inspired by the Next Stage of Human Consciousness*. Millis, MA: Nelson Parker.

Luckin, R., Bligh, B., Munches, A., Ainsworth, S., Crook, C., & Noss, R. (2012). *Decoding Learning: The Proof, Promise and Potential of Digital Education*. London: Nesta. Retrieved from [http://www.nesta.org.uk/library/documents/DecodingLearningReport\\_v12.pdf](http://www.nesta.org.uk/library/documents/DecodingLearningReport_v12.pdf)

Merchant, N. (2014). *The New How: Creating Business Solutions through Collaborative Strategy*. Cambridge: O'Reilly Media.

Ministry of Education, Singapore. (2014). "Our Vision" Retrieved from: <http://www.moe.gov.sg/about/>

Mortensen, C. (2011). "Mission Possible: Keys to One to One Success." *Learning and Leading with Technology*. ISTE (International Society for Technology in Education), August, 2011.

Mourshed, M., Chenezi, C., and Barber, M. (2010). *How the world's most improved schools systems keep getting better*. London: McKinsey and Company.

OECD. (2012). *Lessons from PISA for Japan, Strong Performers and Successful Reformers in Education*. OECD Publishing. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264118539-en>

OECD (2013), *OECD Skills Outlook 2013: First Results from the Survey of Adult Skills*, OECD Publishing. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264204256-en>

Project Red. (2013). Project RED: The Research. <http://projectred.org/about/research-overview/findings.html#two>

Shear, L., Gallagher, L., & Patel, D. (2011). *Innovative Teaching and Learning Research*. Menlo Park: SRI International.

Sinek, S. (2011). *Start with Why: How Great Leaders Inspire Everyone to Take Action*. New York: Penguin.

Sornette, Didier. (2009). *Why Stock Markets Crash: Critical Events in Complex Financial Systems*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Snowden, D., Goldstein, J., Richardson, K., Allen, P. (Editors). (2007). *Emergence: Complexity & Organization*. 2006 Annual. ISCE Publishing.

Towndrow, P., Vallance, M. (2013). "Making the Right Decisions: Leadership in 1-to-1 Computing in Education." *International Journal of Educational Management*, v27 n3 p260-272 2013.

Twani, E. and Fontan, J. (2012). *The Fontan Relational Education*. Learning One to One Foundation. Retrieved from: <http://1to1.com/articles/>

UNESCO and Microsoft. (2011). *UNESCO ICT Competency Framework for Teachers*. Paris: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. Retrieved from: <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0021/002134/213475e.pdf>

Vander Ark, T., Schneider, C. (2014). "Assessing Deeper Learning: A survey of Performance Assessment and Mastery –Tracking Tools." Whitepaper produced by: Getting Smart with support from Asia Society, ConnectEd, Envision Learning Partners, & New Tech Network. April 2014.

Wang, X. (2013). "A Potential Approach to Support Pre-Service Teachers' Professional Learning: The Video Analysis of the Authentic Classroom." *US-China Education Review B*, ISSN 2161-6248 March 2013, Vol. 3, No. 3, 149-161. Accessed May 29, 2014: <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED541826.pdf>

Wolfe, R., Steinberg, A., Hoffman, N. (2013). *Anytime Anywhere: Student-Centered Learning for Schools and Teachers*. Cambridge: Harvard Education Press.

Zhu, Z., Gu, X., Collis, B., Moonen, J. (2011). "Use of ICT in Chinese Schools: Striving for Educational Quality and Equality." *Educational Technology*, v51 n3 p32-37 May-Jun 2011.

## Author Bio

**Maria Langworthy** is Chief Research Officer at Michael Fullan Enterprises, Global Director of the Innovative Teaching and Learning Project and Director of New Measures for the New Pedagogies for Deep Learning global partnership. Her research centres on the future of education and how research and measurement can be used as levers for positive change. She is an active advisor to Microsoft's Partners in Learning, which operates in 115 countries, and works with the Pearson Foundation as a consultant on international projects. Previously, Maria managed communications, corporate image, citizenship and policy research at Microsoft, where she led a team responsible for research in over 40 countries. She has a Ph.D. in sociology from Boston University.

## Acknowledgements

Microsoft in Education gratefully acknowledges the support and participation of the individuals who offered feedback, expertise, and insights to advance this work. We appreciate the contributions of Aidan McCarthy, Dr. Cathy Cavanaugh, Alexa Joyce, Dr. Ginno Kelley, Brian Gibson, Beau Bertke, Sean Tierney and Wole Moses.

# How Microsoft can help:

## **The Windows and Office Platform Enables:**

Online and Offline Learning

Multimodal input to adapt to all learning styles

Rich accessibility capabilities

<http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/education/products>

## **Education Solutions**

Office 365 Enables Content Creation, Collaboration, Online and Distance Learning

Rich Ecosystem of Education Apps and Content

Solutions utilize Microsoft Platform differentiators

<http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/education/school-leaders/>

The [Microsoft Innovative Schools Program](#) provides an example framework called the 6i Process for leaders and policy makers. The Innovative Schools Toolkit is an accessible and practical guide for you and your school community to begin the journey of innovation. It is intended to be a starting point rather than a complete solution and it offers a process that can be customized based on your unique needs. **For more information** please visit the Microsoft Educator Network at <http://www.pil-network.com/> and explore the Innovative School toolkit at <http://www.is-toolkit.com/>  
<http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/education/school-leaders/create-innovative-schools/>

## Case Studies

Teachers running their Classrooms through OneNote <http://blogs.office.com/2014/06/27/teachers-running-their-classrooms-through-onenote-and-welcome-to-iste-2014/>

Students can be more organized and collaborative with OneNote

<http://blogs.office.com/2014/06/30/students-can-be-more-organized-and-collaborative-with-onenote/>

Administrators help teachers, students reach learning objectives with OneNote

<http://blogs.office.com/2014/07/01/administrators-help-teachers-students-reach-learning-objectives-with-onenote/>

Leon County Schools Launches Initial Phase of 1:1 Digital Initiative <http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/showcase/details.aspx?uuid=babf097c-93c3-47a2-956b-5ad00b7ccdfc>

Leon County Schools 1:1 Digital Initiative: Empowering Teachers and Engaging Students

<http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/showcase/details.aspx?uuid=a36a69d1-f372-4d66-b536-daf30ab8bf86>

Cincinnati Country Day School Surface Pro 3 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w6zK9b5bqUA>

# Microsoft in Education

[www.microsoft.com/education/](http://www.microsoft.com/education/)